



Preventing, detecting and treating specific complications to optimize patient outcomes

14th European Consensus Conference (EuCC) 2019 in Cologne
2 March 2019



2019

**European Association of Dental
Implantologists (BDIZ EDI)**

Mühlenstr. 18 · 51143 Cologne
Tel. 02203/80 09 339 · Fax 02203/91 68 822
office@bdizedi.org
www.bdizedi.org





Bundesverband der
implantologisch
tätigen Zahnärzte
in Europa

European
Association of
Dental
Implantologists

Guidelines 2019

**Preventing, detecting and treating specific complications
to optimize patient outcomes**

14th European Consensus Conference (EuCC) 2019 in Cologne

1 March 2019

Authors: Jörg Neugebauer, PhD, DMD
Hans-Joachim Nickenig M.Sc., PhD, DMD
Joachim E. Zöller, PhD, MD, DMD
Department of Cranio-maxillofacial and Plastic Surgery
and Interdisciplinary Department for Oral Surgery and Implantology
Centre for Dentistry and Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery,
University of Cologne, Germany
Director: Professor DDr Joachim E. Zöller

Chairman: Dr J. Neugebauer (Germany)
Protocol: Professor Dr H.J. Nickenig M.Sc. (Germany)
Participants: Ch. Berger (Germany)
DDr P. Ehrl (Germany)
Professor Dr A. Felino (Portugal)
Dr P. Gehrke (Germany)
Dr V. Gowd (India)
Professor DDr R. Haas (Austria)
Dr F. Kasapi (Macedonia)
Professor Dr P. Kobler (Croatia)
Professor Dr V. Konstantinovic (Serbia)
Dr S. Liepe (Germany)
Professor Dr H. Özyuvaci (Turkey)
Dr J. Peplinkhuizen (The Netherlands)
Professor DDr A. Sculean (Switzerland)
G. Stachulla (Germany)
Dr J.W. Vaartjes (The Netherlands)
Dr F. Vizethum (Germany)
Professor DDr J.E. Zöller (Germany)

Contents#

1 Methods.....2
2 Problem.....2
3 Patient sections.....3
4 Surgical techniques.....3
 High insertion torque.....4
 Flapless surgery.....4
5 Prosthetic procedures.....4
 Tooth-to-implant fixed partial dentures.....4
 Fixation of suprastructure.....4
6 Conclusion.....5
7 References.....5

BDIZ EDI
Mühlenstr. 18
D-51143 Cologne
Germany

FON: +49-2203-80 09 339
FAX: +49-2203-91 68 822
office@bdizedi.org
www.bdizedi.org



1 Methods

Objective

The purpose of this guide is to provide recommendations for clinicians active in implant dentistry, enabling the prevention, early detection and treatment of complications in order to optimize the patient outcome.

Introduction

All consensus recommendations in this paper should be interpreted as guidelines only. The patient's specific situation is always an important aspect and may justify deviations from the recommendations of this consensus paper.

Background

Implant placement is a proven way to replace missing teeth and to restore function and aesthetics. Nevertheless, complications may occur at various stages of treatment flow. Earlier guidelines covered surgical complications that might be harmful to anatomical structures; a risk analysis; and avoiding implant malpositioning considering further therapeutic needs. This guideline focuses on less frequently encountered risk factors that may arise at various treatment stages.

Literature search

The Cochrane Library, EMBASE, DIMDI and Medline literature databases were used to conduct a systematic search of recent published data. Selective search criteria were used, including terms such as

complication, dental implant, meta-analysis.

The publications identified with the search were screened by reading their abstracts and those irrelevant to the subject were identified and excluded. Those articles found to be potentially relevant were obtained in full-text form. Multiple review papers with meta-analyses were available on the subject.

Procedure for developing the guideline/consensus conference

A preliminary version of this document on which the EuCC based its deliberations was prepared and authored by Dr J. Neugebauer, PhD, and Professor Dr H.-J. Nickenig, MSc, of the Interdisciplinary Department for Oral Surgery and Implantology and Department of Oral and Maxillofacial Plastic Surgery at the University of Cologne, Germany. The preliminary report was reviewed and discussed by the committee members in five steps, as follows:

- Reviewing the preliminary draft
- Collecting alternative proposals
- Voting on recommendations and levels of recommendation
- Discussing non-consensual issues
- Final voting

2 Problem

The outcome of implant therapy depends on the health status of the patient, including his or her medication and nutritional status and the planned procedures and prosthetic restorations. From a surgical point of view, the use of surgical guides and grafting procedures may lead to complications. The recommendations for immediate loading require a high insertion torque, which is also a possible risk factor. From a restorative point of view, the retention type of the

BDIZ EDI
Mühlenstr. 18
D-51143 Cologne
Germany

FON: +49-2203-80 09 339
FAX: +49-2203-91 68 822
office@bdizedi.org
www.bdizedi.org



superstructure may be associated with technical or biological complications. The question of joining natural and implant abutments has also been subject to controversial discussion.

3 Patient sections

Patient expectations

The high number of implant treatments performed today may have deceived patients into believing that there are no longer any contraindications to implant treatment. Implants require a physiological bone metabolism, something that is not a given in the presence of several systemic diseases such as osteopetrosis (Morbus Albers-Schönberg), osteodystrophia deformans (Paget's disease of bone) or fibrous dysplasia. The bone metabolism can also be affected by medications, smoking habits or nutritional status.

Current observations

Case reports have stated that implant treatment is possible for patients with Paget's disease or fibrous dysplasia. For patients receiving antiresorptive therapy, a high incidence of complications in the form of bone necrosis has been reported after tooth extraction, surgical interventions or even as a result of sore spots. However, implant placement, possibly in conjunction with autologous grafting procedures, could produce positive outcomes in osteoporosis patients [16].

Low level of cholecalciferol (vitamin D3) may compromise osseointegration and graft regeneration or lead to progressing peri-implantitis [3]. Patients receiving proton pump inhibitors (PPI) or serotonin reuptake inhibitors (SRI) exhibit higher rates of implant failure [8]. Conflicting results have been reported regarding the effect of glucocorticoids and NSAIDs on implant treatment outcomes [7].

Preventions of complications

- Implant placement is contraindicated in patients suffering from osteopetrosis.
- High-dosage antiresorptive therapy could result in higher rate of BRONJ [17].
- Patients who have been on antiresorptive therapy for osteoporosis for more than three years need a detailed case selection with surgical techniques not requiring intensive bone remodelling [17]. Extensive bone splitting, osteotome techniques or lateral sinus grafts should be avoided.
- In patients with soft bone evident in preoperative radiographs or increased bone resorption, blood cholecalciferol levels should be checked [3].
- In patients with PPI or SRI, the duration and amount of drugs could be investigated before considering a patient for implant treatment [8].
- Patients must be informed that smoking may substantially increase the risk for biologic complications (e.g. peri-implantitis) [4].
- In patients under long-term glucocorticoid medication at high doses, bone-metabolism parameters may have to be evaluated.
- Mild bone malformation in patients with fibrous dysplasia or Paget's disease need a strict indication for dental implants due to a lack of pertinent data.

4 Surgical techniques

Patient expectations

Patients increasingly request immediate fixed rehabilitation in conjunction with immediate implant placement and loading. However, postoperative morbidity should be kept as low as possible.

BDIZ EDI
Mühlenstr. 18
D-51143 Cologne
Germany

FON: +49-2203-80 09 339
FAX: +49-2203-91 68 822
office@bdizedi.org
www.bdizedi.org



[Current observations](#)

High insertion torque

Immediate implant placement with immediate restoration is a scientifically proven treatment concept for rehabilitating a failing dentition [6]. Various recommendation on the determination of primary stability have been given, depending on implant designs and the surgical procedures performed, for achieving osseointegration in the context of immediate restoration [18]. A recent RCT on insertion torque showed increased failure and bone resorption rates in the mandible for high insertion torques [14]. Previous meta-analyses have shown that high insertion torques are not correlated with increased bone resorption or implant failure [1, 12].

Flapless surgery

Implant placement using 3D surgical guides is now established, and flapless surgery should reduce the postoperative discomfort. The use of surgical guides based on CBCT technology permits highly accurate implant placement [2, 5]. Compared to free-hand flapless surgery and to the raising of a flap, the outcome of guided flapless surgery was not different in terms of implant failure rates and bone resorption in the hands of experienced treatment providers [11, 20]. Nevertheless, complication such as bone perforation or displacement of the surgical guide may occur [2, 5].

[Prevention of complications](#)

- Due to the many different implant designs and recommend preparation techniques, especially in dense bone or in the presence of a thin cortical plate, the manufacturers' recommend insertion torques should be considered.
- Patients benefit from flapless procedures if a proper indication exists in terms of the available bone supply and preoperative 3D diagnostic findings.
- Flapless procedures are subject to a specific learning curve.

5 Prosthetic procedures

[Patient expectations](#)

Patients expect a stable prosthetic restoration that meets their aesthetic and functional needs, with minimal complications.

[Current observations](#)

A reduction in the number of implants in a given case due to economic or anatomical reasons may be considered by using both teeth and implants as abutments for fixed partial dentures (FPDs). Superstructures can be cemented or screw-retained, both of which can be associated with complications.

[Tooth-to-implant fixed partial dentures](#)

A meta-analysis of tooth-to-implant (hybrid) fixed partial dentures (T-I FPDs) reported survival rates of 94.1% after 5 years and 77.8% after 10 years of clinical service [9]. The impact of T-I FPDs and implant-to-implant FPDs in the partially edentulous arch on implant survival rates showed no significant differences for periods up to 72 months [15, 21]. A recent systematic review assessed the effect of rigid and non-rigid splinting between implants and teeth, with overall prosthetic survival rates of 85% and higher risks for tooth intrusion associated with non-rigid connections for observation periods of between 18 and 120 months [19].

[Fixation of suprastructure](#)

Depending on the number of implants and the design of available abutments, superstructures can be cemented or screw-retained. Technical or biological complications may occur with

BDIZ EDI
Mühlenstr. 18
D-51143 Cologne
Germany

FON: +49-2203-80 09 339
FAX: +49-2203-91 68 822
office@bdizedi.org
www.bdizedi.org



either type of retention. A meta-analysis showed no differences regarding loosening of superstructures, changes in marginal bone levels or peri-implantitis [10, 13].

Prevention of complications

- Rigid superstructures should be preferred for T-I FPDs.
- Complications associated with T-I FPDs are encountered mainly at the natural abutment, especially when the teeth are periodontally compromised or root canal filled.
- The form of retention of the superstructure should be chosen by taking function, aesthetics and professional maintenance into account rather than focusing on available techniques.
- To facilitate maintenance, a retrievable superstructure is preferred, but a definitive cementing on natural tooth.

6 Conclusion

Dental implants are reliable treatment options for restoring patient function and aesthetics. Careful case selection is necessary by considering not only the oral findings alone. Due to the great variability of implant designs and surgical and prosthetic procedures proposed, the individual suggested parameter should be followed to avoid complication. All procedures should be performed by treatment providers with the requisite up-to-date expertise and training.

Professor DDr Joachim E. Zöller
Vice President

Dr Jörg Neugebauer
Chairman of EuCC

7 References

1. Berardini M, Trisi P, Sinjari B et al. The Effects of High Insertion Torque Versus Low Insertion Torque on Marginal Bone Resorption and Implant Failure Rates: A Systematic Review With Meta-Analyses. *Implant Dent* 2016; 25: 532-540.
2. Bover-Ramos F, Vina-Almunia J, Cervera-Ballester J et al. Accuracy of Implant Placement with Computer-Guided Surgery: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis Comparing Cadaver, Clinical, and In Vitro Studies. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants* 2018; 33: 101-115.
3. Choukroun J, Khoury G, Khoury F et al. Two neglected biologic risk factors in bone grafting and implantology: high low-density lipoprotein cholesterol and low serum vitamin D. *J Oral Implantol* 2014; 40: 110-114.
4. Chrcanovic BR, Albrektsson T, Wennerberg A. Smoking and dental implants: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Dent* 2015; 43: 487-498.
5. Colombo M, Mangano C, Mijiritsky E et al. Clinical applications and effectiveness of guided implant surgery: a critical review based on randomized controlled trials. *BMC Oral Health* 2017; 17: 150.
6. Del Fabbro M, Ceresoli V, Taschieri S et al. Immediate loading of postextraction implants in the esthetic area: systematic review of the literature. *Clin Implant Dent Relat Res* 2015; 17: 52-70.
7. Fu JH, Bashutski JD, Al-Hezaimi K et al. Statins, glucocorticoids, and nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs: their influence on implant healing. *Implant Dent* 2012; 21: 362-367.

BDIZ EDI
Mühlenstr. 18
D-51143 Cologne
Germany

FON: +49-2203-80 09 339
FAX: +49-2203-91 68 822
office@bdizedi.org
www.bdizedi.org



8. Jung RE, Al-Nawas B, Araujo M et al. Group 1 ITI Consensus Report: The influence of implant length and design and medications on clinical and patient-reported outcomes. *Clin Oral Implants Res* 2018; 29 Suppl 16: 69-77.
9. Lang NP, Pjetursson BE, Tan K et al. A systematic review of the survival and complication rates of fixed partial dentures (FPDs) after an observation period of at least 5 years. II. Combined tooth-implant-supported FPDs. *Clinical oral implants research* 2004; 15: 643-653.
10. Lemos CA, de Souza Batista VE, Almeida DA et al. Evaluation of cement-retained versus screw-retained implant-supported restorations for marginal bone loss: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Prosthet Dent* 2016; 115: 419-427.
11. Lemos CAA, Verri FR, Cruz RS et al. Comparison between flapless and open-flap implant placement: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Surg* 2018.
12. Li H, Liang Y, Zheng Q. Meta-Analysis of Correlations Between Marginal Bone Resorption and High Insertion Torque of Dental Implants. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants* 2015; 30: 767-772.
13. Ma S, Fenton A. Screw- versus cement-retained implant prostheses: a systematic review of prosthodontic maintenance and complications. *Int J Prosthodont* 2015; 28: 127-145.
14. Marconcini S, Giammarinaro E, Toti P et al. Longitudinal analysis on the effect of insertion torque on delayed single implants: A 3-year randomized clinical study. *Clin Implant Dent Relat Res* 2018; 20: 322-332.
15. Muddugangadhar BC, Amarnath GS, Sonika R et al. Meta-analysis of Failure and Survival Rate of Implant-supported Single Crowns, Fixed Partial Denture, and Implant Tooth-supported Prostheses. *J Int Oral Health* 2015; 7: 11-17.
16. Schmitt CM, Buchbender M, Lutz R et al. Oral implant survival in patients with bisphosphonate (BP)/antiresorptive and radiation therapy and their impact on osteonecrosis of the jaws. A systematic review. *Eur J Oral Implantol* 2018; 11 Suppl 1: S93-S111.
17. Stavropoulos A, Bertl K, Pietschmann P et al. The effect of antiresorptive drugs on implant therapy: Systematic review and meta-analysis. *Clin Oral Implants Res* 2018; 29 Suppl 18: 54-92.
18. Tettamanti L, Andrisani C, Bassi MA et al. Immediate loading implants: review of the critical aspects. *Oral Implantol (Rome)* 2017; 10: 129-139.
19. Tsaousoglou P, Michalakis K, Kang K et al. The effect of rigid and non-rigid connections between implants and teeth on biological and technical complications: a systematic review and a meta-analysis. *Clin Oral Implants Res* 2017; 28: 849-863.
20. Voulgarakis A, Strub JR, Att W. Outcomes of implants placed with three different flapless surgical procedures: a systematic review. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Surg* 2014; 43: 476-486.
21. Weber HP, Sukotjo C. Does the type of implant prosthesis affect outcomes in the partially edentulous patient? *Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants* 2007; 22 Suppl: 140-172.



**WE WANT
YOU**

We are promoting the exchange of ideas within Europe:
for dental clinicians interested in implant treatment!

Come and join us!

Become a **member** of a Europe-wide organization for dentists.
More information: www.bdizedi.org > we want you!

